



Reflexivity in Interview and Observation Protocols: Theoretical Perspectives for Mitigating Bias and Implications for Educational Researchers' Data Collection Practices

Caroline Ochuko Alordiah

ARTICLE INFO

Article History

Received: 30/09/2024

Revised: 24/10/2024

Accepted: 20/12/2024

Authors' Affiliation

Department of Science Education
Faculty of Education, University of
Delta Agbor, Delta State

Email: caroline.alordiah@unidel.edu.ng

+2348035504867

ORCID ID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2917-9302>

Keywords:

Reflexivity
Qualitative Research
Bias Mitigation
Interview Protocols
Observation Protocols
Educational researchers
Ethical Considerations

Abstract

This abstract explores the function of reflexivity in qualitative research, emphasizing the importance of reflexivity in protocols for observations and interviews. Understanding how researchers' identities, views, and prejudices might affect data collection and interpretation requires a critical examination of the process of reflexivity, or critically reflecting on oneself during the research process. In order to mitigate researcher bias, this paper examines the growth of reflexivity in social science research by placing it within theoretical frameworks and historical settings. Many forms of reflexivity are discussed, including personal and epistemological reflexivity, with an emphasis on how these aspects influence participant-researcher interactions and the caliber of data that is gathered. The creation of reflexive interview guidelines and the design of observation protocols that promote continuous self-awareness are two useful tactics for introducing reflexivity into data gathering procedures. The paper also discusses the ethical implications of reflexivity and argues that qualitative research should be more accountable and transparent. Over-reflexivity and striking a balance between subjectivity and objectivity provide difficulties and constraints that are also examined. In order to help researchers improve the rigor and credibility of their qualitative studies, this study attempts to provide a thorough overview of the theoretical and practical consequences of reflexivity. In the end, reflexivity is viewed as a basic principle that enhances the research process and promotes deeper insights and ethical integrity in qualitative inquiry, in addition to being a methodological instrument.

Introduction

Reflexivity plays a critical role in determining the reliability and depth of the data gathered in qualitative research. The purposeful and conscious process through which researchers consider their own impact over the study process is known as reflexivity (Olmos-Vega, 2022). This means that they must always be conscious of the ways in which their identities, histories, assumptions, and relationships with study participants may affect the outcomes. Thus, in order to reduce biases, reflexivity pushes researchers to go beyond simple neutrality and accept and critically engage with their subjectivity (Darawsheh, 2014). It is especially important for procedures including interviews and observations, as the researcher's presence and interpretations can have a big impact on how the data is collected and analyzed in the end. Qualitative researchers need to be highly aware of their positionality and how it may affect the research context as they immerse themselves in the complexity of social reality (Alordiah et al., 2023; Castillo-Montoya, 2016).

When taking into account the observer-observed relationship in field observations and the researcher-participant dynamic in interviews, reflexivity becomes extremely important. By their very nature, interviews are dynamic, and participants frequently modify their answers to reflect how they see the researcher. Similar to this, a researcher's presence during observation may cause participants to behave differently naturally, which may have an impact on the data gathered (Jamie, 2021). In order to overcome these obstacles, reflexivity provides a methodical way for researchers to examine their own prejudices and the ways in which their positionality affects the research process. Unintentionally biased research findings can result from a variety of factors, such as the sociocultural background of the researcher, power dynamics among participants, and previous conceptions about the topic of the study. Reflexivity helps the researcher identify these possible biases, which raises the study's legitimacy and transparency (Barrett et al., 2020).

This work aims to investigate theoretically the function of reflexivity in reducing biases in the process of gathering data for qualitative research,

specifically in protocols including interviews and observations. The paper will provide recommendations to researchers on how to integrate reflexivity into their procedures to improve the rigor and ethical standards of their research by referencing pertinent theoretical viewpoints. It seeks to provide researchers a thorough grasp of how reflexivity may be used as a tactical tool to actively address and recognize the subjective factors that could skew their findings. This investigation will show the need of adopting a reflexive position at every stage of the research process, from study design to result interpretation, emphasizing the requirement of reflexivity in maintaining the validity and ethical integrity of qualitative research.

Conceptualizing Reflexivity in Qualitative Research

The idea of reflexivity has developed into a fundamental component of qualitative research. As the researcher recognizes that no research can ever be completely unbiased or objective, it is frequently described as their ongoing and critical self-examination of their own participation in the research process (Palaganas, 2017). Understanding how the researcher's experiences, presumptions, and interactions with participants influence the study questions that are asked as well as the data that is gathered, analysed, and finally presented is necessary for reflexivity (Olmos-Vega, 2022). Over time, reflexivity has evolved from merely identifying researcher bias to a more comprehensive comprehension of the ways in which outcomes are influenced by power dynamics, individual experiences, and the social setting of the study. By placing the researcher within the research, reflexivity enables them to engage as an active participant and a critical observer of their own methods (Khanal, 2022).

Reflectivity has historically been ingrained in interpretivist and critical research paradigms. Early qualitative research downplayed the researcher's impact by aiming for an objectivity similar to that of quantitative research. But academics refuted this idea, pointing out that because qualitative research deals with the nuanced truths of human experience, it is by its very nature subjective (Alordiah et al., 2023; Sims, 2023). In disciplines where researchers are

intimately involved in the social environments they investigate, such as sociology and anthropology, reflexivity arose in response to positivism's criticisms. With time, reflexivity gained acceptance as both an essential component of qualitative research and a way to guarantee the morality and rigor of study procedures. It enables researchers to question their own presumptions, resulting in a more genuine and open portrayal of their findings (Rettke et al., 2018).

Types of Reflexivity

Although reflexivity is not a single idea, it can be broadly divided into two categories: epistemological reflexivity and personal reflexivity. Each of these forms has a specific function in the research process and provides a variety of perspectives for researchers to consider their tasks and the knowledge they generate.

- **Personal Reflexivity:** This form of reflexivity focuses on the researcher's own identity, background, and emotions, as well as how these elements influence the research process. It entails considering how the questions posed, the relationship the researcher develops with participants, and the interpretation of the data gathered may be influenced by elements such as the researcher's gender, race, socioeconomic status, cultural background, and personal experiences. In order to be personally reflexive, a researcher must critically evaluate how their own subjective positioning might cause them to stress or ignore particular research findings. When conducting interviews on gender issues, for example, a researcher may bring personal biases or assumptions based on their own experiences with gender. This might affect the questions posed and the interpretation of the responses (Rothman, 2019). In addition to acknowledging these factors, personal reflexivity involves the researcher continually reevaluating their own opinions throughout the study process in an effort to lessen their effects.
- **Epistemological Reflexivity:** Epistemological reflexivity looks at the frameworks and presumptions that underpin the research process itself, whereas personal reflexivity concentrates on the subjectivity of

the researcher. It poses queries on the nature of knowledge, what constitutes legitimate knowledge, and how research methodology affects conclusions. Researchers must critically evaluate their theoretical stances, methodological decisions, and the larger paradigms that guide their work in order to engage in this type of reflexivity. Researchers are challenged by epistemological reflexivity to think about how their chosen approaches may deepen or broaden their grasp of the subject. For instance, researchers that only use structured interviews could overlook the subtleties that come through participant observation or more flexible, open-ended interviews (Barrett et al., 2020).

Key Theorists on Reflexivity

Many academics have made substantial contributions to the growth of reflexivity in social science research by providing richer theoretical frameworks and various points of view. Pierre Bourdieu, Donna Haraway, and other prominent personalities have been instrumental in elevating reflexivity to a central role in qualitative inquiry.

- **Pierre Bourdieu:** Bourdieu made fundamental contributions to the idea of reflexivity, especially with regard to his emphasis on the ways in which power and social institutions influence the researcher as well as the research. The concept of "participant objectivation," which Bourdieu popularized, refers to the method by which researchers examine not just their subjects but also themselves and the academic community in which they work. He maintained that because researchers are products of the same social forces they investigate, they need to consider how their own social positioning affects the work that they do. The reflexivity approach of Bourdieu places emphasis on the significance of recognising the institutional and social circumstances that influence research data interpretation as well as knowledge development. Bourdieu emphasized the significance of researchers analyzing their own involvement in the reproduction of power relations within the research process by arguing for a self-aware

and critically engaged approach (Barrett et al., 2020).

- **Donna Haraway:** Reflexivity is closely related to the concept of "situated knowledges," which was first introduced by Haraway in her feminist critique of objectivity in science. According to Haraway, every knowledge is situated and incomplete, influenced by the particular circumstances and viewpoint of the knower. This viewpoint contests the conventional understanding of objectivity as being detached and devoid of values, arguing that researchers should acknowledge their embeddedness in the subjects they are studying. In feminist and post-colonial studies, where reflexivity is used to examine the researcher's role in upholding or challenging power structures, Haraway's work has had a particularly significant impact. Her demand for knowledge production to become more accountable, involved, and morally aware has had a significant impact on modern reflexive techniques in qualitative research (Olmos-Vega et al., 2022).

Additional research on reflexivity has been conducted by academics who supports an interpretive strategy that acknowledges the complexity of the human experience. They emphasize how intersubjective qualitative research is and how important it is for researchers to co-create meaning with participants (Barrett et al., 2020; Palaganas et al., 2017). Furthermore, academics who have studied reflexivity through the lenses of gender, racism, and identity include Judith Butler and bell hooks. Their work highlights the necessity for researchers to critically interact with the social and political settings in which their work is placed (Rothman, 2019).

The Role of Reflexivity in Interview Protocols

Interviews are one of the most straightforward methods for obtaining detailed, rich data in qualitative research. However, a variety of factors that can introduce biases into the data collection process naturally impact the interaction between the researcher and participant during these interviews. By ensuring that researchers are aware of their own influence on the research, reflexivity plays a critical role in overcoming these challenges. Through

reflective practices, researchers can analyse critically how their positionality, personal traits, and even the questions they ask participants during interviews influence the answers they get back. Thus, reflexivity becomes a tool for encouraging moral and open communication between the researcher and participant as well as for strengthening the validity and rigor of the research (Orange, 2016).

One of the key elements influencing how an interview is conducted is the relationship between the participant and the researcher. Participant responses can be significantly impacted by the researcher's identification, regardless of race, gender, age, socioeconomic level, or other personal characteristics. Power dynamics can come into play, especially when participants think the researcher is in charge or has influence over the study's conclusions. In these situations, participants may purposefully or inadvertently modify their answers to fit the researcher's expectations or to show themselves in a positive light. This effect, which is sometimes called "social desirability bias," has the ability to skew the data's validity (Dahlgren, 2015). The researcher can recognize and deal with these power relations when they are reflexive. For example, a researcher may use a more casual interview approach to help participants feel more at ease if they are conscious that their academic background or socioeconomic level could scare them. In a similar vein, researchers can take action to establish a more welcoming and impartial environment for conversation if they acknowledge that their gender or ethnicity may influence participants to steer clear of particular subjects or alter their responses. The researcher can more effectively control their interactions with participants to lower the possibility of bias and promote more genuine responses by thinking about these factors before to, during, and after the interview.

Additionally, reflexivity trains the researcher's attention to nonverbal clues that can surface throughout the interview. A participant may be expressing unexpressed power dynamics or discomfort through their body language, tone of voice, and facial expressions. Acknowledging and adapting to these nuances helps promote a more equitable conversation in which participants feel free to express their opinions honestly (Rothman, 2019).

Among the most important areas in which reflexivity is crucial is in interview question design. The type of information that participants are willing to provide can be greatly influenced by the way the questions are written. For instance, asking leading questions may sway participants' responses and distort the results. Similarly, participants may feel compelled to respond within specific limitations to limited or excessively structured questions, which might limit the richness and depth of the responses (Barrett et al., 2020). Researchers are encouraged by reflexivity to carefully consider the wording and organization of their queries. By doing this, they can try to create open-ended questions that provide participants the opportunity to freely express their ideas and experiences in their own words. Because open-ended questions provide participants the freedom to explore their thoughts and feelings without being limited by predetermined categories, they typically elicit more complex and thorough responses. In addition to avoiding introducing their own prejudices or preconceptions into the discussion, researchers can ensure that the questions reflect a sincere curiosity about the participant's perspective rather than the researcher's preconceived beliefs by critically participating with the question creation process.

An inquiry like as "How does social media negatively impact your life?" for instance, assumes that social media has a negative impact, leading the participant to provide a specific response. In order to avoid this possible bias, reflexive researchers might rephrase the question to be more impartial and open-ended, like "Can you describe your experiences with social media?" This updated question allows for a more genuine conversation by allowing the participant to share their viewpoint, whether it be favourable, unfavourable, or neutral. Beyond the structure of the inquiry, reflexivity is essential to understanding the answers. When analysing data, researchers often interpret participant responses through the lens of their own experiences and worldviews. In order to be considered reflexive, researchers must constantly consider how their personal subjectivities may influence the interpretations they make of the data. They may more easily identify and lessen the impact of their own prejudices by doing this, which enables

them to interpret participant experiences in a way that is more accurate and reliable (Dobson et al., 2015).

Reflexive journaling is a useful technique that researchers can use to improve reflexivity during interviews. Throughout the research process, especially during interviews, the researcher keeps a thorough, continuous record of their thoughts, feelings, and reflections in a diary known as a reflexive journal. The researcher can record how their background, presumptions, and interactions with participants may be affecting the interview process in this journal (Karpowitz., 2023). For example, following each interview, the researcher can consider how their own reactions to particular participant comments influenced the course of the discussion. They might make a note of any instances in which they felt especially challenged or in agreement with a participant's point of view, as well as how these feelings might have affected the questions they followed up with. This degree of self-reflection promotes a constant process of self-examination throughout the research and aids in the researchers' awareness of their subjectivity.

Additionally, reflective journaling might shed light on how the researcher's state of mind or outside factors may impact the interview. For instance, if a researcher is interviewing participants over a period of weeks, outside stressors like job or personal matters could unintentionally affect their tone, enthusiasm, or degree of participation. Through consistent journaling, the investigator may monitor these variations and contemplate their potential effects on the data gathering procedure, thus enabling them to modify their strategy as needed (Dado et al., 2023). The diary can also be a useful tool for scheduling follow-up interviews. The researcher may become aware of places where they were less reflexive or where their biases may have been present after thinking back on a specific interview. This knowledge can then guide the format and methodology of follow-up interviews, encouraging a more thoughtful and moral data gathering procedure.

Reflexive journaling, in summary, is a very useful tool for researchers since it helps them critically interact with their own subjectivity and how it affects the interview process. Researchers can reduce biases

and enhance the calibre of the data they gather by routinely recording and considering their ideas and behaviours.

Researchers can establish a more transparent, ethical, and credible research process by carefully integrating reflexivity into interview protocols. This can be achieved through understanding participant-researcher dynamics, critically crafting interview questions, or keeping a reflexive journal. While attempting to reduce any potential biases that may emerge during interviews, reflexivity acknowledges the intricacies and subjectivities inherent in qualitative research and facilitates a deeper understanding of the data.

The Role of Reflexivity in Observation Protocols

One of the most important techniques in qualitative research is observation, which enables researchers to collect information on interactions, settings, and behaviours as they naturally arise. But observation itself is not an impartial or objective process. When conducting an observation, researchers sometimes bring their own subjectivities, prejudices, and assumptions with them. This can affect not just what they notice, but also how they interpret and document what they see. Therefore, reflexivity is essential to ensuring that researchers are aware of these impacts and are able to identify and reduce any potential biases that may develop during the observation process. Researchers can interact more morally and openly with the data they gather by using reflexive techniques, which will provide them a more credible and nuanced view of the phenomenon they are studying (Rothman, 2019).

The term "observer bias" describes how a researcher's personal experiences, convictions, and expectations may influence what they notice and document when doing observations. This bias can show itself in a number of ways, such as the researcher reading events through a prism influenced by their own experiences or cultural background, or only detecting behaviors that support pre-existing ideas. By pushing researchers to critically consider how their own posture and presence in the observation setting might influence what they see, hear, and record, reflexivity helps to mitigate observer bias (Shaw, 2010).

When a researcher is observing students in a classroom, for instance, they could unintentionally pay closer attention to the actions of students that match a certain profile, like those who speak up more or seem more involved. However, they may ignore more nuanced actions or exchanges that do not conform to their preconceived notions. Reflecting on why they are more drawn to some features of the environment than others and how their own presumptions might be influencing their observations are two things that reflexivity encourages researchers to do.

Furthermore, individuals being observed may change in response to the researcher's simple presence in the environment; this is a phenomenon called the "observer effect." If participants are aware that they are being watched, especially if they feel that they are being assessed or condemned, they may change how they behave. Researchers are encouraged by reflexivity to think about how their presence can change the dynamics of the setting and to take these possible disruptions into account while doing their analysis.

It goes without saying that reflexivity includes how researchers document their findings. Writing field notes or keeping track of observational data is a subjective process in and of itself because researchers have to decide what information to include and what not to include as well as how to interpret what they see. Researchers are urged by reflexivity to be aware of these decisions and to consider critically how their personal prejudices may be affecting the way they record the observation. Researchers that possess this self-awareness are better able to accurately and impartially describe the phenomena they are examining (Olmos-Vega, 2022).

Theoretical Approaches to Reflexivity in Observation

Deepening a researcher's engagement with the observational process can be achieved by the integration of theoretical viewpoints into reflexivity. A number of theoretical frameworks, including phenomenology and ethnomethodology, provide instruments for scrutinizing the interaction between the observed and the observer and the process of knowledge generation in the study setting. For

example, ethnomethodology focuses on how people interpret their daily interactions and surroundings. From an ethnomethodological standpoint, reflexivity encompasses how participants actively understand and develop their social environment in real time, as well as the researcher's influence on the observation process. When using this method, reflexive researchers are urged to closely observe how participants' actions are influenced by their perceptions of the social setting and how these dynamics may be impacted by the researcher's presence. With this method, researchers can avoid oversimplifying or overinterpreting the actions they see because they keep in mind that the participants are also involved in intricate processes of meaning-making that are situated within particular social settings (Engward, 2020).

In contrast, phenomenology places more emphasis on people's actual experiences as well as how they view and understand their environment. In order to be reflexive within a phenomenological framework, the researcher must do their best to bracket or put aside their preconceptions in order to concentrate on the viewpoint of the participant. In observation protocols, this could entail the researcher making a deliberate attempt to comprehend the significance of the behaviours from the participant's perspective rather than forcing their own views on the behaviours they witness. This can be especially difficult because it calls for constant introspection and a high degree of self-awareness in order to keep the researcher's personal worldview from skewing the results (Vagle et al., 2016).

Reflexive Practice in Field Notes

Reflexive field notes are among the most useful tools for integrating reflexivity into observation methods. Thoughts on how the researcher's presence and subjectivity might have affected the observation environment can also be found in field notes, which are the researcher's primary record of what was observed. In addition to recording what transpired, reflective field notes provide an understanding of the researcher's own ideas, feelings, and possible prejudices throughout the observation (Macqueen, 2020).

A researcher watching people engage in a community centre, for instance, may notice that they were more interested in listening in on discussions involving people from similar cultural backgrounds to their own. They could analyse how their own cultural identity may have influenced their focus and why they were more aware of these exchanges in their reflexive field notes. The researcher can later examine how their subjectivity may have affected the data collection process by recording these reflections and take appropriate measures to adjust for this bias in their final analysis.

Furthermore, reflexive field notes let researchers consider how their feelings and past experiences might be influencing what they are seeing. For example, a researcher may be more likely to perceive a participant's conduct a certain way if they are frustrated or empathetic with them. The researcher can identify these emotional reactions and think about how they might be influencing the data gathering process by using reflexive journaling. To guarantee that the researcher's interpretations stay as impartial and objective as feasible, this degree of reflection is necessary.

Moreover, field notes can be utilized to monitor how the researcher's perspectives evolve over time. As they get more accustomed to the environment or individuals, researchers may detect changes in their emphasis or interpretation in longitudinal studies, which involve observations made over a lengthy period of time. Researchers can record these changes and consider how their growing comprehension of the study context may be affecting their observations by using reflective field notes. For the research process to remain transparent and rigorous, this continuous reflexivity is essential.

Incorporating Reflexivity into Data Collection Protocols

In qualitative research, reflexivity is not a stand-alone technique; rather, it is integrated into all phases of data collection, from formulating interview questions to organizing observation protocols and educating researchers. Researchers can improve the credibility of their findings, guarantee ethical rigor, and better regulate their personal biases by deliberately integrating reflexivity into data gathering techniques. With this integration, researchers can gain a more

nuanced knowledge of the data while being aware of how their positionality, background, and interactions with participants affect the process of gathering data and the interpretations that are ultimately drawn from it.

Interview guides are a prime example of a design that efficiently incorporates reflexivity. It is never possible for interview questions, prompts, and follow-ups to be totally objective because the researcher's theoretical framework, presumptions, and even unconscious biases always influence them. In order to ensure that they are aware of how their own viewpoints may influence the path of the conversation, reflexivity encourages researchers to critically evaluate these components when they formulate their interview questions (Lear et al., 2018).

When creating interview guidelines, a reflective method entails creating open-ended questions that are intended to elicit a variety of replies from participants. By doing this, the possibility of leading questions decreases, which have the ability to gently influence participants' answers in accordance with the researcher's preconceived notions. Reflective researchers consider how their personal convictions may affect the questions they ask, if they are giving some subjects more weight than others, and how they initially frame those subjects. Through self-reflection, researchers can develop more inclusive and impartial interview guides that let participants share their viewpoints without being limited by the researcher's prejudices (Woods, 2019). Reflexivity affects not just the structure of inquiries but also how follow-up questions are phrased during interviews. It is imperative for researchers to acknowledge the potential impact of their own reactions, both vocal and nonverbal, on participants' responses. When a researcher gives a positive response to a participant's response, for instance, they can unknowingly be encouraging them to keep thinking in that direction even though they could have offered a more complex or unique viewpoint. Recognizing these dynamics, reflexive researchers work to establish an impartial setting in which participants feel free to talk about their experiences without fear of the researcher's judgment (Dodgson, 2019).

Moreover, researchers' interpretation and framing of interviewee responses is also a reflection of reflexivity in interview techniques. When conducting reflexive interviews, researchers must constantly consider how their own experiences and background may be influencing how they interpret the comments of participants. For example, rather of completely comprehending the participant's perspective, a researcher with a certain cultural or socioeconomic background may interpret the participant's comments in ways that reflect their own worldview.

Training Researchers in Reflexive Practices

More than just a theoretical grasp of the idea is needed to incorporate reflexivity into data collection protocols; researchers must also receive hands-on training on how to apply reflective practices all the way through the research process. In the absence of this training, researchers might not be aware of how their own prejudices are affecting the data they are gathering, which could result in biased results and moral dilemmas. Proficient training programs furnish investigators with the instruments and tactics required to participate in reflective practices throughout the whole duration of a study.

Developing researchers' self-awareness regarding their own positionality and how it could affect the research is a crucial aspect of reflexive training. It is recommended that researchers consider how their personal identities, experiences, and histories may influence how they engage with participants and how they interpret the results. This training frequently consists of discussions on how researchers' prejudices may appear in the research process as well as exercises where researchers critically evaluate their own presumptions and biases (Lazard, 2017).

Practical advice on how to record reflexive practices during data collecting may also be included in training sessions. For instance, reflexive journaling techniques, in which researchers routinely record their own thoughts, feelings, and reactions as the research progresses, can be taught to researchers and used in both interviews and observations. In addition to offering a record of their reflexive participation that can be consulted throughout data analysis, this aids researchers in maintaining awareness of their impact on the research process.

Furthermore, reflexive training promotes cooperation and peer debriefing among researchers as a means of reducing individual bias. Through reflective discussions with mentors or colleagues, researchers might learn more about how their own positionality may be affecting their work. These cooperative talks also provide researchers a chance to hear from others and think about different ways to interpret the data, which encourages more responsibility and transparency in the research process (Jamie, 2021).

Challenges and Limitations of Reflexivity

Although reflexivity is a useful technique in qualitative research, it has drawbacks and limitations. It provides avenues to reduce prejudice and improve the openness and moral integrity of the research process. When using reflexivity, researchers must manage a number of intricate dynamics, such as the danger of becoming overly self-reflexive, the conflict between subjectivity and objectivity, and possible criticisms that reflexivity may elicit. These difficulties should be carefully considered since they have the potential to affect the researcher's interaction with the data as well as the integrity of the research.

The risk of over-reflexivity, in which the researcher gets unduly preoccupied with their own subjectivities to the point of impeding the research process, is one of the major obstacles to introducing reflexivity into research. Reflexivity pushes researchers to think critically about how their identities, feelings, and presumptions affect the research at every turn by encouraging ongoing self-examination. On the other hand, excessive self-examination can result in "paralysis by analysis," a condition in which the researcher is reluctant to proceed out of concern that they would act on their biases or unintentionally skew the findings (Rothman, 2019).

There are various ways that over-reflexivity appears. For example, researchers may grow so engrossed in their positionality that they are unwilling to give their results a final interpretation. Researchers may doubt their observations out of a fear of having too much influence, which would impair the boldness and clarity of their analysis. Additionally, an excessive amount of reflexivity might result in changes to data collection techniques that are not necessary since the

researcher is always questioning whether their methodology is sufficiently reflexive, which can cause delays or inconsistent results in the research process.

Finding a balance is consequently the task facing scholars. While reflexivity is a necessary component of qualitative research, it shouldn't get in the way of advancement. Instead of constantly reflecting on themselves, researchers might designate specific times in the study timetable for reflexive analysis to avoid being overly self-reflexive. Reflexive checkpoints at regular intervals help the researcher stay focused on the goals of the study while simultaneously remaining conscious of their own biases. Furthermore, collaborative reflexivity can assist reduce the risk of over-reflexivity by offering a wider perspective and promoting realistic decision-making. This involves researchers reflecting on their positionality with peers.

Maintaining a fine balance between subjectivity and objectivity is a fundamental problem when implementing reflexivity. By its very nature, qualitative research welcomes subjectivity as a way to explore the richness and depth of human experience. In order to be reflexive, researchers must be aware of and investigate their subjective viewpoints. They must also understand that their experiences, feelings, and moral principles will always influence how they approach the research. But this emphasis on subjectivity might occasionally appear to conflict with the objective of creating reliable, broadly applicable knowledge, which frequently corresponds more with ideas of objectivity.

One major obstacle is the conflict between subjectivity and objectivity. One way that qualitative research is strong is when it provides deep, complex insights that come from the researcher's interaction with the data. However, placing an excessive amount of focus on subjectivity may cause questions about the validity and reliability of the results. Researchers run the risk of having their conclusions viewed as unduly impacted by personal bias if they become highly invested in their subjective interpretations, which would diminish the research's wider application (Reid et al., 2018).

In order to overcome this obstacle, researchers need to take a reflective approach that neither completely denies objectivity nor downplays the importance of subjective involvement. When used properly, reflexivity strengthens the search for objective information by bringing the researcher's influence on the process into clear view. Reflective researchers are better equipped to give an honest and responsible description of the research process when they are open about how their subjectivity has influenced the study. Furthermore, subjectivity and objectivity can be balanced by using triangulation, which is the process of cross-validating conclusions using several data sources or methodologies. By using triangulation, researchers can show that additional data corroborates their subjective interpretations, so strengthening the validity of their conclusions.

Relativism's charge that reflexive inquiry is intrinsically subjective and cannot produce reliable or broadly applicable knowledge is another related worry. Critics fear that qualitative research runs the potential of devolving into relativism, in which there is no definitively correct interpretation, if each researcher's perspective is strongly shaped by their positionality. This might make people doubt the benefits of reflexive inquiry, particularly in domains where positivist methods of knowledge still predominate (Rothman, 2019).

It is crucial for reflexive researchers to express the benefits of reflexivity as a methodological tool in a clear and concise manner in order to counter these possible objections. By encouraging accountability and openness, reflexivity improves qualitative research's validity rather than lessening it. Researchers provide readers with a more comprehensive knowledge of how the findings were generated when they candidly consider how they shaped the study process. Even though the research's conclusions are inevitably influenced by the subjectivity of the investigator, this openness fosters confidence in the study.

Researchers might clarify that reflexivity does not mean placing the researcher's personal experiences front and centre at the expense of the participants in response to worries about self-indulgence. Rather, it's about recognizing and highlighting the ways in which

the researcher's history shapes how they interact with the data. Reflexivity is a useful technique for keeping the study topic front and centre while making sure the positionality of the researcher is taken into consideration. Furthermore, by placing their thoughts within a larger collaborative framework and participating in reflexivity in interaction with participants, peers, or mentors, researchers can reduce the risk of self-indulgence.

Finally, in order to counter the accusation of relativism, reflective researchers should stress the importance of methodological rigor in qualitative research. Being reflective does not imply that all points of view are equally legitimate; rather, it shows that the researcher is transparent about the method by which their judgments are formed. Researchers can show that their findings are reliable and believable even if they are not strictly "objective" in the conventional sense by upholding reflexive practices in addition to stringent methodological techniques like member verification, triangulation, and extensive description.

Ethical Implications of Reflexivity

A crucial component of qualitative research is the ethical aspects of reflexivity. By guaranteeing that researchers remain sensitive to the stories and circumstances of participants, as opposed to forcing their own interpretations or biases onto the data, reflexivity promotes an ethical approach. Through reflexivity, researchers recognize their own power within the research process and work to reduce its influence on the veracity of participant responses.

The possibility of misrepresentation—either by twisting participant stories to fit a specific theoretical framework or by ignoring subtleties that do not conform to the researcher's expectations—is one of the main ethical problems in qualitative research. In order to counteract this, reflexivity urges researchers to be watchful of their interpretation procedures (Folkes, 2022). Reflective researchers are always asking themselves if they are really allowing participants' views to come through and how their own viewpoints are influencing the results. By doing this, the integrity of the participants' contributions is respected, and the research findings are guaranteed to more closely reflect their actual experiences.

Recognizing the ethical duty of the researcher to establish a polite and non-exploitative study environment is another aspect of reflexivity. Reflexive researchers are aware of the power disparities that can arise when interacting with vulnerable or marginalized populations, in particular. In order to be reflexive, researchers must take into account how their status or identity may influence participants' willingness to speak candidly or even influence the information that participants choose to divulge (Probst et al., 2013). Furthermore, reflexivity promotes ethical transparency by making researchers consider why they conducted the study in the first place. In this introspective exercise, researchers are asked to evaluate if their work primarily serves their own goals or the interests of the participants. Researchers who practice reflexivity are more likely to be aware of the possible effects their work may have on the communities they are studying and to take responsibility for the use and dissemination of their results. Respecting participants' privacy and preserving secrecy both depend heavily on reflexivity. Researchers that practice reflexivity become increasingly conscious of the moral need to preserve participant anonymity, especially when disclosing sensitive or possibly stigmatizing findings. Ethics are kept front and center throughout the whole research process because reflexivity forces researchers to think about how their analyses and presentations of the data may impact participants.

Implications for Educational Researchers

Educational scholars should take note of this study's implications for reflexivity in qualitative research. The following are some important implications:

1. By incorporating reflexivity into their methods, educational researchers can enhance the designs of their studies.
2. This study advises educational researchers to be aware of their potential biases and subjective impacts by emphasizing the value of reflexivity. Raising awareness can result in more intentional and thoughtful methods of gathering data, which can improve the reliability and validity of study findings.
3. Researchers can collect data that is more real and richer by integrating reflexivity. Educational researchers can foster an

atmosphere that encourages candid discussion and leads to deeper understandings of educational practices and experiences by being aware of their positionality and the dynamics of interactions between participants and researchers.

4. The study highlights reflexivity-related ethical issues and exhorts educational researchers to remain mindful of participant narratives and circumstances.
5. The results can be used by research organizations and educational institutions to create reflexivity-focused training curricula. The calibre of research in education can be greatly improved by providing researchers with the abilities and information necessary to engage in reflexivity.
6. This study reinforces the requirement for reflexivity, which adds to the theoretical discourse on qualitative research approaches. By applying these theoretical understandings, educational researchers can gain a deeper comprehension of the intricacies of their research environments and the consequences for educational policies and practices.
7. Collaboration between educational academics, practitioners, and participants can be encouraged by the emphasis on reflexivity. Researchers can foster more inclusive research environments that embrace varied viewpoints and produce more thorough and significant research findings by giving reflective practices priority.
8. The insights gained from this study can inform future research directions in education. Researchers can build on the findings to explore new dimensions of reflexivity, further refining methodologies and enriching the educational research landscape.

Conclusion

In qualitative research, reflexivity plays a crucial role in shaping the way researchers engage with both data and participants. It offers a framework for analysing power dynamics, positionality, and biases in procedures for observation and interviews. Researchers are able to negotiate subjective interpretation while pursuing transparency and ethical integrity with the aid of practical tactics and

theoretical ideas from prominent scholars. Reflexive techniques like journaling and self-awareness exercises help researchers minimize their own biases and improve the validity of the data they collect. Additionally, reflexivity guarantees ethical rigor—especially when dealing with marginalized groups. It opposes conventional notions of objectivity and advocates for a pluralistic strategy that respects a range of viewpoints. This idea promotes ethical responsibility and transparency while strengthening the quality and credibility of research. In the end, reflexivity promotes ongoing introspection and critical thinking during the research process, guaranteeing that the conclusions drawn are trustworthy, morally sound, and acutely conscious of the researcher's impact on the creation of knowledge.

Recommendations

- Create interview questions that encourage open-ended responses and reduce leading queries, allowing for authentic participant engagement.
- Design observation guides that promote flexibility and allow researchers to adjust their focus based on ongoing reflections about their influence on the research context.
- Encourage researchers to document their thoughts, feelings, and biases during the data collection process to enhance self-awareness and transparency.
- Implement training programs that teach researchers how to integrate reflexivity into their entire data collection process, emphasizing its importance in qualitative research.
- Equip researchers with practical steps to identify and address their biases, ensuring a more objective data collection process.
- Promote the use of reflexivity as a means to strengthen the credibility and trustworthiness of research findings by openly acknowledging biases and positionality.
- Encourage researchers to consider the ethical dimensions of reflexivity, maintaining sensitivity to participants' narratives and contexts throughout the research process.
- Foster discussions about the tension between subjective involvement and the quest for

objective knowledge, emphasizing the value of diverse perspectives.

- Prepare researchers to respond to critiques regarding reflexivity, including concerns about self-indulgence and relativism, by framing reflexivity as a vital part of rigorous qualitative research.
- Encourage researchers to engage with key theoretical contributions on reflexivity, helping them to contextualize their research within broader academic discourses.

References

- Alordiah, C. O., Tibi, P. I., Omumu, F. C., Chenube, O., Moemeke, C. D., & Okokoyo, I. E. (2023). Qualitative research: a future road map for educational researchers in Nigeria. *University of Delta Journal of Contemporary studies in Education (UDJCSE)*, 2(2), Available at <https://foeunidel.org.ng/edu/2023/09/30/17/>
- Barrett, A., Kajamaa, A., & Johnston, J. L. (2020). How to ... be reflexive when conducting qualitative research. *The Clinical Teacher*, 17(1), 9–12. <https://doi.org/10.1111/tct.13133>
- Castillo-Montoya, M. (2016). Preparing for Interview Research: The Interview Protocol Refinement Framework. *The Qualitative Report*. <https://doi.org/10.46743/2160-3715/2016.2337>
- Dado, M., Spence, J., & Elliot, J. (2023). The Case of Contradictions: How Prolonged Engagement, Reflexive Journaling, and Observations can Contradict Qualitative Methods. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/16094069231189372>
- Darawsheh, W. B. (2014). Reflexivity in research: Promoting rigour, reliability and validity in qualitative research. *International Journal of Therapy and Rehabilitation*, 21(12), 560–568. <https://doi.org/10.12968/ijtr.2014.21.12.560>
- Dahlgren, G., & Hansen, H. (2015). I'd rather be nice than honest. *Journal of Vacation Marketing*, 21, 318 – 325. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1356766715577503>
- Dodgson, J. (2019). Reflexivity in Qualitative Research. *Journal of Human Lactation*, 35,

- 220 - 222.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0890334419830990>
- Engward, H., & Goldspink, S. (2020). Lodgers in the house: living with the data in interpretive phenomenological analysis research. *Reflective Practice*, 21, 41 - 53.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/14623943.2019.1708305>.
- Folkes, L. (2022). Moving beyond 'shopping list' positionality: Using kitchen table reflexivity and in/visible tools to develop reflexive qualitative research. *Qualitative Research*, 23(5), 1301–1318.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/14687941221098922>
- Jamie, K., & Rathbone, A. P. (2022). Using theory and reflexivity to preserve methodological rigour of data collection in qualitative research. *Research Methods in Medicine & Health Sciences*, 3(1), 11–21.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/26320843211061302>
- Karpowitz, C., Austin, S., Crandall, J., & Macias, R. (2023). Experimenting with List Experiments: Interviewer Effects and Immigration Attitudes. *Public Opinion Quarterly*.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/poq/nfad001>.
- Khanal, S., & Charles, C. (2022). Disrupting power through reflexivity: new possibilities for researchers and teachers working with Dalits in Nepal. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Teacher Education*, 50, 372 - 386.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/1359866X.2022.2058912>.
- Lazard, L., & McAvoy, J. (2017). Doing reflexivity in psychological research: What's the point? What's the practice? *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 17(2), 159–177.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/14780887.2017.1400144>
- Lear, H., Eboh, W., & Diack, L. (2018). A nurse researcher's guide to reflexive interviewing. *Nurse researcher*, 25 4, 35-42 .
<https://doi.org/10.7748/nr.2018.e1550>
- Macqueen, S., & Patterson, C. (2020). Reflexivity in a longitudinal narrative inquiry: in pursuit of clarity of interpretations. *International Journal of Research & Method in Education*, 44, 125 - 134.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/1743727X.2020.1724279>.
- Olmos-Vega, F. M., Stalmeijer, R. E., Varpio, L., & Kahlke, R. (2022). A practical guide to reflexivity in qualitative research: AMEE Guide No. 149. *Medical Teacher*, 45(3), 241–251.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/0142159x.2022.2057287>
- Orange, A. (2016). Encouraging Reflective Practices in Doctoral Students through Research Journals. *The Qualitative Report*, 21, 2176-2190.
- Palaganas, E. C., Sanchez, M. C., Molintas, Ma. V., & Caricativo, R. D. (2017). Reflexivity in Qualitative Research: A Journey of Learning. *The Qualitative Report*.
<https://doi.org/10.46743/2160-3715/2017.2552>
- Probst, B., & Berenson, L. (2013). The double arrow: How qualitative social work researchers use reflexivity. *Qualitative Social Work*, 13(6), 813–827.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1473325013506248>
- Rettke, H., Pretto, M., Spichiger, E., Frei, I., & Spirig, R. (2018). Using Reflexive Thinking to Establish Rigor in Qualitative Research. *Nursing Research*, 67, 490–497.
<https://doi.org/10.1097/NNR.0000000000000307>.
- Rothman, B. K. (2019). Reflexivity in Qualitative Research. *Journal of Human Lactation*, 35(2), 220–222.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0890334419830990>
- Shaw, R. (2010). Embedding Reflexivity Within Experiential Qualitative Psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 7, 233 - 243.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/14780880802699092>.
- Sims, D. (2023). Reimagining reflexivity through a critical theoretical framework: Autoethnographic narratives on becoming a (de)colonised researcher. *Critical Studies in Teaching and Learning*.
<https://doi.org/10.14426/cristal.v11i1.642>
- Vagle, M., & Hofsess, B. (2016). Entangling a Post-Reflexivity Through Post-Intentional Phenomenology. *Qualitative Inquiry*, 22, 334

- 344.

<https://doi.org/10.1177/1077800415615617>.

Woods, S. (2019). Commentary 1: The Role of Ethical Reflexivity in Conducting Ethically Challenging Qualitative Research. *Journal of Empirical Research on Human Research Ethics*, 14, 462 - 465.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1556264619857856a>.